4

1-A

CP-1081

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. (S) NO. 4012 **/2006**

PETITIONER

M.M. Patel, S/o. Shri Babu Lal Patel, aged about 48 years, Occupation Sub-Engineer, Water Resources Department, R/o. Kelo Vihar Raigarh, Tehsil & District Raigarh (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Water Resources Department, D.K.S. Bhavan, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.)

2. Secretary, Panchayat & Gramin Vikas Vibhag, D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)

3. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Bhopal Pattnam, District Dantewada (C.G.)

P.R. No. 4075/06
Presented by Shri A. Tiwari
dated 28/7/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION, CERTIORARI ETC. OR ANY OTHER DIRECTION OR ORDER TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER

43 34

42

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.4012/06 सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	08-08-2006 Shri Sachin Singh Rajput, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Sumesh Bajaj, Govt. Advocate for the State/ respondents No. 1 and 2, on advance copy. Heard. By the instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has challenged his transfer order dated 30.06.2006 (Annexure-P/1), passed by respondent No.2 whereby the petitioner has been transferred from Raigarh to Janpad Panchayat: Bhopalpatnam, District: Dantewada. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is pressing this petition only to the extent that the petitioner has already made a representation to respondent No.2 for his repatriation to the parent Department, therefore, respondent No.2 be directed to decide the representation of the petitioner to which learned counsel appearing for the State/ respondent No. 1 and 2 has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner appears to be just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation made by the petitioner within thirty days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. With the aforesaid directions, the writ petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.). P. No.3121/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	

Soma

(पीछे देखिये)

8-8-06